SPONSOR'S REBUTTAL TO FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number: HB 240

Date Prepared: 02/23/2011

Short Title:

Revise eminent domain laws

Sponsor:

Flynn, Kelly

Fiscal Note Version and Date:

Generally, why do you disagree with the fiscal note?

In the new section 4 (3) (a) the language reads "a formal mediation process <u>may</u> begin. <u>If</u> the parties decide." The key word is "may" rather than "shall". Not all times will the parties select mediation agreements.

Specifically, what in the fiscal note do you feel is flawed?

The fiscal note under '2' says the remaining 1/3 <u>will</u> require mediation services. Certainly some <u>may</u> choose the process. Not all will.

I believe the increase in contracted mediation services is quite high. Additionally, the additional 3 FTE seems to be a high number. Additionally, the increased costs in mediation may save dollars further into the process. Thus I disagree with statements 2,3 & 4 on the fiscal note.

What is your estimate of the fiscal impact?